

The Evening World
Published by the Press Publishing Company,
10 to 12 PARK ROW, New York.

JUNE 23, 1894.

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE EVENING WORLD
(including postage):
PER MONTH.....\$1.00
PER YEAR.....\$10.00
Vol. 84.....No. 11,995

Entered at the Post-Office at New York as second-class matter.

BRANCH OFFICES:
WORLD UPTOWN OFFICE—Junction of Broadway and 42nd St., at 42nd St.
WORLD HARLEM OFFICE—18th St. and Madison Ave.
PHOENIX—230 Washington St.
BROOKLYN—PA—Inquirer Office, 212 Chestnut St.
WASHINGTON—702 14th St.

May, 1894.

THE WORLD'S BANNER MONTH FOR CIRCULATION.

Average Per Day:
463,191.

Circulation for May, 1893,
25,033 Per Day.

Readers of THE EVENING WORLD leaving the city for the hot months should in their address send THE EVENING WORLD to them regularly.

Did you think it had been as hot as it could be?

The rare days of June are threatened with an over-broiling.

It need not be a hotter New York, as well as a greater and a better.

All New York joins in the chorus of welcome to the German singers.

What becomes of Senatorial dignity when there is a loss of Senatorial temper?

Mr. Croker has not escaped and cannot escape the question as to where he got it.

The one thing that doesn't melt in this weather is the heart of the Ice Trust.

The "straight" ticket idea shows symptoms of prostration by heat. Mr. Goff is a scorcher.

It remains to be seen if Platt's new "State Club" will be more effective than the old party lash.

To Capt. Ward: If you can't find the ball a few times on the Western trip, for pity's sake lose the team.

By all means let Justice Dilliver have his opportunity before the Summer recess of the Lexow Committee.

Instead of hiding the Senate Sugar scandal, the Gray Committee painted it in glaring colors that won't wash off.

Columbus, O., has seen the mirage of a beautiful city. Likely as not, it was a forecast of the greater and better New York.

New York's baseball days are as a tale that is told. The narrative of Capt. Ward and his mistress men is quite another story.

Detroit's Aldermen have been masquerading as clowns. Chicago is patiently indulging the hope that hers will yet masquerade as wise men.

There is no longer any fragment of distinction to be acquired by jumping from the Brooklyn Bridge. Extinction was all yesterday's adventurer got out of it.

Inspector Williams says he once knew an honest policeman. He is not alone in the experience. Many an honest policeman has been known and is known to-day. Under an honest administration of municipal affairs, which must come in response to a growing demand, the integrity of the force will be placed beyond question. The Police Department has been bossed too much to be honest.

Said ex-Mayor Hewitt to the graduates of the Pratt Institute: "I welcome you to a much better world than the one of seventy years ago. This is the right world. The good old times" have given way to better new times. And the business of the graduate is to do his share towards keeping up the progress. If he fails in that his graduation is a waste of time.

Anti-opton's victory in the House will lead finally to a more effective destruction of the Hatch fallacy than its shelving or qualified defeat could have done. There has never been any particular danger that this piece of legislative idiocy would get as far as the statute books, and there isn't any now. If there had been any such danger, the Hatch bill would never have passed the House.

Promotion for perjury: punishment for telling the truth. These things were revealed as a part of the Brockway system at yesterday's session of the Investigating Committee at Elmira. One inmate, appearing as a witness, begged to be transferred to State prison, that he might serve his time out peacefully. Another testified to being placed in solitary confinement for having given evidence at the first inquiry. And Gov. Flower, despite his own previous declaration of belief in Brockway's guilt, has

held up the hands of the man who thus abuses his official powers for his private revenge. Gov. Flower, like New York City, is bossed too much.

"SUNDAY WORLD" FEATURES.
The "Sunday World" to-morrow will be a notably interesting newspaper, with more striking features than ever. In the first place, the Duchess has written a charming love story in her very best spirit. And the colored cartoons and original humor are more than commonly attractive. Then, too, there will be three entire pages devoted to the Summer news of the past week, the new arrivals and the gossip of all the watering places. Incidental to this there will be a beautiful page of pictures of the first bathers of the season.

While Nellie Bly was taking the Keeley cure at White Plains recently she discovered that "Billy" Malbone, the champion wrestler who gained wide fame because he was the man who trounced John L. Sullivan, had a "physical culture farm" in the same town. Miss Bly visited the place and arranged to take a week's treatment from Dr. Muldoon. She has written a very interesting story of her experiences among the physical wrecks who are regaining their health under Muldoon's guidance. She tells how all hands get up about sunrise and box and wrestle and play ball before breakfast, and during the day take long walks and vigorous horseback rides, until they are so tired out that they want to go to bed by 8 o'clock in the evening. The "Black Death" has begun again. This is the dreadful pestilence of history which from century to century has scourged the earth and almost depopulated some of the great cities of the Old World. It has now made its reappearance, and it is possible that four years ago it started on its way to sweep the earth once more. The "Sunday World" to-morrow will have a most striking article on this historic pestilence, and reproductions of the famous "Dance of Death" from the cemetery wall of Basle.

Among the other incidental features of to-morrow's "Sunday World" will be a very curious story of a Brooklyn man who makes it his business to trace up people who have mysteriously disappeared. Up to date this man-hunter has actually found no less than four hundred missing people and returned them to their friends. There will also be a description of a curious expedition which is about to start for Mexico to study the cliff-dwellers, that strange, prehistoric race which has left traces along the Southern mountains. Miss Frances Willard has given a "Sunday World" reporter a very entertaining chat on the pilgrimage of distinguished women who are very soon to start out on a ship to visit every nation in the world, to urge the cause of temperance and better morals. There will also be an almost endless variety of interesting miscellaneous matter, which can scarcely be enumerated. Don't miss to-morrow's "Sunday World."

WHIPPING DEMOCRACY ROUND THE STUMP.

Senator Hill made a strong anti-administration anti-Democratic speech in his tirade against the income tax Thursday. As a new exhibition of his undying enmity toward the Democracy, President whose nomination he did everything to prevent and whose election he did little to promote, it was a success. As an argument against the income tax of incomes of more than four thousand dollars a year, compelling the tax-dodging millionaires of the country to at least bear their share of the burden of taxation, it was a failure.

Of course, Senator Hill as a free agent has a right to rail against the Democracy of to-day as "spurious," as "led astray after false gods, false theories and false methods," as false gods were those worshipped at Chicago, two years ago; its false theories were those then adopted; its false methods were those they pursued when they turned down the Snap Convention delegation from this State. But these charges, twenty-four States of the Union wholly and justly against the administration carried by the Republicans and four by the Populists. They obtained a plurality of forty-five thousand in this State and of three hundred and fifty thousand in the Union. It is evident, therefore, that they were not regarded as "spurious" by the Democracy of the United States.

It seems inconsistent, however, for Senator Hill to criticize Congress for having adopted the recently denounced "Reed Rules" relating to the business of legislation, when the New York Senator was himself the originator and the able advocate of such rules in the Senate.

So far as the ostensible subject of Senator Hill's speech is concerned, not a single argument was used against the income tax that has not already been "guessed" above, that exploited a hundred times. Mr. Hill did, however, charge that to tax incomes above four thousand dollars a year was to spite and punish the city of New York, where there are five hundred men with incomes under the amount to one who would be liable for the tax. He said that the whole of the hundreds of thousands of laborers, mechanics, clerks and small dealers in the metropolis who live on incomes of from one dollar and a half to ten dollars a day, are remarkably philanthropic in their concern for the Vanderbilt and Astors and Guggenheims who control their incomes by the million.

A RECESS NEEDED.
The Lexow Committee is just now dividing the question of continuing the investigation during July and August, or of taking a long recess and resuming work in the more pleasant month of September. The principal advocate for a long recess is the counsel of the Committee, Mr. Goff, and it certainly would be hard to require him to continue such work as he has been doing during the present exhausting weather.

There is only one consideration in favor of a Summer session. The inquiry seems to be exhausted. If all the witnesses for the prosecution, prompted by the counsel are to be put on the stand, no witnesses, or only a few exceptional ones here and there, are to be heard for the other side until the prosecution has fully closed. It is very probable that the whole evidence should be before the people by the time the election comes on in November. Will there be time in two months to close the balance of the case?

It is certainly unreasonable to expect more to kill Tammany by exhausting the work of the committee, and a recess until September may enable everybody to proceed with the remainder of the task with greater speed and vigor. But ought not an early day in September for reassembling to be

agreed upon by the Committee, and would it not be reasonable to fix some time for hearing witnesses for the defense?

No person would desire to cripple the investigation by placing the slightest obstacle in the way of a full and complete exposure of every corrupt practice of the police. But the judgment of the people is already pronounced. There is no doubt whatever in the public mind of the cruel blackmail practices of the police. But fair play is a jewel, and every accused man is entitled to be heard in his own defense.

THE GREAT SAENGERFEST.

The torchlight parade of the attendants on the National Saengerfest last night was a perfect success, both as to numbers, good marching and orderly behavior. It was a fine spectacle to see ten thousand German citizens in line, and it was gratifying to mark the general disposition of the spectators along the whole line of march, and indeed far beyond the line, to do honor to the occasion. The decorations were beautiful and general, and many of the streets looked like a city of flowers. The flags, which were strung from the roofs of houses and stores to the sidewalks. The police did efficient service and the best of order was preserved throughout the line of parade.

Gov. Flower and Mayor Gilroy were present at the review and were evidently well pleased with the sight. President Cleveland could not attend, owing to the pressure of public business. It was said, and probably owing to the time recently devoted to fishing and other excursions. But he sent his secretary, through his private secretary, Mr. Thurber.

To-day the first concert of the Saengerfest will be given at Madison Square Garden, with eight thousand singers. It will be a grand affair. The coming week will be devoted to enjoyment, ending with a picnic on Thursday, and it is to be hoped the occasion of the seventeenth National Saengerfest will be a pleasant memory to all who take part in it.

NO CZARRING IN OURS.

The weather is pretty hot here and times are hard, and there is no free lemonade or beer spouting from the city's fountains, but with all the drawbacks of life in and around the metropolis the average New Yorker is much better off to-day than the czar of all the Russias, for whom the nihilists are filling fresh mines with explosives.

The Gothamite can take a boat to Coney Island or Rockaway or a train to some Catskill resort, or he can go down to Sag Harbor or other Long Island spots, where overcoats and chest protectors are worn all the year around, and there is nothing to stand in the way of his perfect enjoyment of the trip unless it happens that he hasn't got the price.

The czar can't go four miles from his gleaming palace without finding a cavern of misery in his path. He doesn't have to buy his straw hats out of street fakirs' wagons, like some of us, but he can't get as much fun out of this humming sphere.

Who would care to sit on a suburban road around nightfall on a subterranean tunnel, when he can be as jolly and contented in New York, whose Sunday is full of side doors, and whose health is never impaired by Anarchistic didoes?

CLUBBED BY A WATCHMAN.

William Wahlman, a bookbinder of 105 East 11th Street, and Twenty-first Street, witnessed the parade last night in celebration of the National Saengerfest, and as a result of his evening's outing he is this morning suffering from a badly cut head and a much troubled mind.

Wahlman was one of the immense crowd which lined Fourth avenue to witness the spectacle. He was at Twenty-second Street, directly in front of the building of the Bloeker Street Savings Bank, in course of construction. Lawrence Brohan, of 726 Fourth avenue, a night watchman at the bank building, kept the people from taking possession of the stoop of the new building, but finally the crowd came so dense and there were so many women and children, and through the crowd the police failed to keep the crowd back, that the crowd came to the stoop. Wahlman was in the crowd, and he was cut in getting a seat.

About twenty minutes later Brohan suddenly realized that he had been turned the steps to his own account and exacted pay for the seats. Although late to make such a move, he went to those on the steps and demanded money. From some he received 25 cents and from others 10 cents, and he succeeded in making quite a little sum for himself. Then he got to Wahlman, however, he refused to take money from him, and finally the crowd threw him off the steps and then threw his night stick at him. Wahlman caught the stick and then threw it back at the crowd, and then he was cut on the head. When the party arrived at the station-house it was discovered that Wahlman was bleeding from the head. An ambulance surgeon attended him.

In Yorkville Police Court this morning Brohan was held for examination.

New Jersey's State Building Sold.
(By Associated Press.)
CHICAGO, June 22.—The New Jersey State Building at the World's Fair Grounds has been sold to James A. Keister, formerly of Trenton. The "Reader" will use it as a residence on the south shore of Lake Michigan.

EDITORIAL EXPRESSED.

Survival of —?
The tem is dead, but Casey still lives—Buffalo Times.

Sensational Rude Awakening.
The sugar-spectating cortege of United States Senators are disgusted since they have read the revelations before the Lexow Committee. They thought they had a snap, but they find it was nothing compared with what the New York politicians had—Toledo Blade.

Arms and the Men.
The Vermont Republican has put two one-armed soldiers at the head of their list of State officers. Guess they will get there with both feet—New Bedford Journal.

Where Discretion Draws the Line.
Somebody is creating a sensation in Chicago and other lake cities by persecuting Miss Madeline Pollard. As yet nobody has had the hardihood to sack her, but the case of the bookbinder is accepted the original owner—Cincinnati Times-Star.

Where Is New York's Parkway?
In spite of the fog, the people of this town will soon have a direct driveway from the City Hall to the Park. It is another case of the "Scholar in Politics" containing no references to the fact that Tammany scholarship runs largely to arithmetic—Philadelphia Ledger.

With Division Its Specialty.
Attorney General Olney's address at Brown University, "The Scholar in Politics," contained no references to the fact that Tammany scholarship runs largely to arithmetic—Philadelphia Ledger.

ETERNITY

[This column is for everybody who has a complaint to make, information to give, a subject of general interest to discuss or a public service to acknowledge, and who can put it into 100 words or less. Long letters cannot be printed.]

Sunday Sacredness.
To the Editor:
In answer to "G. P." who argues in favor of the first day of the Sabbath, let me say that Christ never changed the Sabbath from the seventh to the first day of the week (see Matt. v. 17-19, John vi. 2, 9, 20). "The Son of Man is Lord also of the Sabbath." What does this mean? Simply that the Sabbath is the Lord's Sabbath. Let it be remembered that the day here called the Sabbath is the seventh day of the week, the day which the Jews professed to keep. The Christians keep no religion, except what the Bible tells them, therefore they must call the seventh day the Sabbath, and that day only. But how did it become the Lord's day? He made it. It was Jesus that created all things (John i. 3; Col. i. 1, 16-17). Now the one who created the heavens and the earth, who created the Sabbath, and who blessed and sanctified it (Gen. ii. 3; Ex. xvi. 26). Therefore Jesus is the Lord of the Sabbath by virtue of his power as Creator. The Apostles never once kept the first day of the week as the Sabbath. "G. P." refers to John xxi. 1, 28. See Acts i. 13, which will show you they all lived in that upper room and were at home partaking of their evening meal when the Saviour appeared to them. He said to them, "Peace be unto you." The apostle "gave him a boiled fish and honey, and he took it and did eat before them." These are never used as communion.

First Cor. xii. 2, refers to collections of money for the poor saints at Jerusalem. Paul said, "Let every one of you lay by him in store"; that is, at home, for this was not to be taken up in the public congregation, and has no reference to the Sabbath at all. Rev. i. 10; kept the first day of the week, therefore the Son of Man is Lord also of the Sabbath. (Mark ii. 28). Then the Sabbath is the Lord's day; the other six are for man's use in which to labor. "Sunday sacredness" is not known in the Word of God. GRACE, Jersey City.

St. Bernards Are Rough on Rats.
To the Editor:
A butcher having a store in the south part of Brooklyn caught a large rat and one of his customers entering the store shortly afterwards with a young St. Bernard dog the rat was exhibited before the dog. The St. Bernard sniffed all round the rat, but showed no unusual symptoms of interest in him. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The butcher then told the customer that the rat was the property of the St. Bernard and that the rat was to be kept in the store. The customer then told the butcher that